

On Inversion of Weierstrass Transform and its Saturation Class

Z. DITZIAN

*Department of Mathematics, University of Alberta,
Edmonton, Alberta, Canada*

The Weierstrass transform

$$f(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k(x-y, 1) \phi(y) dy, \quad \text{where } k(x, t) = (4\pi t)^{-1/2} e^{-x^2/4t}, \quad (1)$$

and its inversion formula (see [3], p. 189)

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1-} \int_{d-i\infty}^{d+i\infty} K(s-x, t) f(s) ds = \phi(x), \quad \text{where } K(s, t) = \left(\frac{\pi}{t}\right)^{1/2} e^{s^2/4t}, \quad (2)$$

give rise to the Gauss–Weierstrass singular integral

$$G(x, 1-t, \phi) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{d-i\infty}^{d+i\infty} K(s-x, t) f(s) ds = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k(x-u, 1-t) \phi(u) du. \quad (3)$$

Saturation theorems for Gauss–Weierstrass singular integral were studied recently by M. Kozima and G. I. Sunouchi ([5], p. 153), E. Görlich ([14], p. 131), and before that, by several other authors, references to whom may be found in [1].

For some Banach spaces E of functions, it was found that $G(x, 1-t; \phi)$ is saturated of order $1-t$, that is: $\|G(\cdot, 1-t; \phi) - \phi(\cdot)\|_E = o(1-t)$ as $t \rightarrow 1-$, if and only if $\phi(x) = 0$ a.e., and $\|G(\cdot, 1-t; \phi) - \phi(\cdot)\|_E = O(1-t)$ as $t \rightarrow 1-$, if and only if $\phi(x) \in \mathcal{R} \subset E$, $\mathcal{R} \neq \{0\}$; also the saturation class \mathcal{R} was characterized.

These results may be applicable for the inversion of Weierstrass transform, (2), since they enable us to determine, under certain restriction, how “fast” the inversion operator tends to ϕ , and for what functions it tends at that rate (the saturation class). However, to determine if $\phi \in \mathcal{R}$, we have to check if $\|G(\cdot, 1-t, \phi) - \phi(\cdot)\|_E = O(1-t)$, $t \rightarrow 1-$, but it is ϕ that we want to find and actually try to calculate as a limit of $G(x, 1-t, \phi)$. To avoid the loop arising if we start with the Weierstrass transform, we try to determine if $\phi \in \mathcal{R}$ directly from $f(x)$ in (1); in the process we obtain results on other classes of functions, interesting by themselves.

THEOREM 1. *Let E be $L_p(-\infty, \infty)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, or $C_0(-\infty, \infty)$, and let $\phi \in E$. Then for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, $\|G_n(\cdot, 1 - t, \phi)\|_E = o(1)$, $t \rightarrow 1-$, if and only if $\phi = 0$ (in E). Also, $\|G_n(\cdot, 1 - t, \phi)\|_E = O(1)$, $t \rightarrow 1-$, if and only if $\phi \in \mathcal{R}_n \subset E$. Here*

$$G_n(x, 1 - t, \phi) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{d-i\infty}^{d+i\infty} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right)^n K(s - x, t) f(s) ds, \tag{4}$$

$f(s)$, $K(s, t)$ are defined by (1) and (2), and \mathcal{R}_n is defined as follows:

- (a) $\mathcal{R}_n = \{\phi : \phi \in L_1 \text{ and } \phi^{(n-1)}(x) \in B.V.\}$ when $E = L_1$,
- (b) $\mathcal{R}_n = \{\phi : \phi \in L_p \text{ and } \phi^{(n)}(x) \in L_p\}$ when $E = L_p, 1 < p < \infty$,
- (c) $\mathcal{R}_n = \{\phi : \phi \in C_0 \text{ and } \phi^{(n)}(x) \in L_\infty\}$ when $E = C_0$.

Recalling the result of [5], p. 153, we have:

Corollary. *If $\phi \in L_p(-\infty, \infty)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, or $\phi \in C_0(-\infty, \infty)$, then*

$$\|G(\cdot, 1 - t, \phi) - \phi(\cdot)\| = O(1 - t), \quad t \rightarrow 1-,$$

if and only if

$$\|G_2(\cdot, 1 - t, \phi)\| = O(1), \quad t \rightarrow 1-.$$

Remark. In Theorem 1 there is a requirement made directly on ϕ —to belong to E . But for $E = L_p, 1 \leq p < \infty$, necessary and sufficient conditions, on $f(x)$, for ϕ to belong to L_p ([3], pp. 195–6) are known. When $E = C_0$, the objection raised is justified, since no representation theorem is known for $\phi \in C_0(-\infty, \infty)$ (not even for $\phi \in B.C.(-\infty, \infty)$).

For the proof of our theorem, we need the following

LEMMA. *Let $\psi \in \mathcal{D}$ (the L . Schwartz space of test functions), and let*

$$\begin{aligned} &G_n(x, 1 - t, \psi) \tag{5} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{d-i\infty}^{d+i\infty} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right)^n K(s - x, t) \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k(s - y, 1) \psi(y) dy ds. \end{aligned}$$

Then for $E = L_p, 1 \leq p < \infty$, or $E = C_0$,

$$\|G_n(\cdot, 1 - t, \psi) - (-1)^n \psi^{(n)}(\cdot)\|_{E^*} = o(1), \quad t \rightarrow 1-, \tag{6}$$

where E^* is the conjugate space of E .

Proof. Using Fubini's theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_n(x, 1-t, \psi) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(y) dy \int_{d-i\infty}^{d+i\infty} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right)^n K(s-x, t) k(s-y, 1) ds \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(y) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{d-i\infty}^{d+i\infty} \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^n K(s-x, t) k(s-y, 1) ds \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(y) \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^n \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{d-i\infty}^{d+i\infty} K(s-x, t) k(s-y, 1) ds. \end{aligned}$$

The last step is justified since, for $t < 1$, we can prove, following the method in ([3], p. 190) and [2], that the inner integrals converge absolutely, uniformly in x . Using Theorem 2.5 of ([3], p. 177), we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_n(x, 1-t, \psi) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^{(n)} k(x-y, 1-t) \psi(y) dy \\ &= (-1)^n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k(x-y, 1-t) \psi^{(n)}(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Using ([5], p. 148),

$$\left\| \frac{G_n(\cdot, 1-t, \psi) - (-1)^n \psi^{(n)}(\cdot)}{1-t} - c\psi^{(n+2)}(\cdot) \right\|_{E^*} = o(1), \quad t \rightarrow 1-. \quad (7)$$

Actually, in [5], the norm used is that of E ; however, the proof with E^* -norm is quite similar, and the lemma proved there is used with the norm of E^* rather than that of E . Using (7), one immediately obtains (6). One can also prove (6) directly for $E^* = L_p$, $1 < p \leq \infty$, and $E^* = \text{N.B.V.}$; for the latter the relation

$$\|G_n'(\cdot, 1-t, \psi) - (-1)^n \psi^{(n+1)}(\cdot)\|_{L_1} = o(1)$$

is used.

Proof of Theorem 1. We first prove that $\|G_n(x, 1-t, \phi)\|_E = o(1)$, $t \rightarrow 1-$, implies $\phi = 0$ in E (the opposite implication is trivial). If $\psi \in \mathcal{D}$, then ψ is in the dual space of E , and

$$I(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G_n(x, 1-t; \phi) \psi(x) dx = o(1), \quad t \rightarrow 1-.$$

We have also, following [5], using Fubini's theorem and our Lemma,

$$I(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G_n(x, 1-t, \psi) \phi(x) dx = (-1)^n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi^{(n)}(x) \phi(x) dx + o(1), \quad t \rightarrow 1-.$$

Hence, $\langle \phi^{(n)}, \psi \rangle = 0$ for every $\psi \in \mathcal{D}$, and therefore $\phi^{(n)} = 0$ in \mathcal{D}' , or, ϕ is a polynomial of degree n , and since it belongs to L_p , $1 \leq p < \infty$, or to C_0 , $\phi = 0$.

To determine \mathcal{R}_n , for $\psi(x) \in \mathcal{D}$, we get by using our Lemma,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G_n(x, 1-t, \phi) \psi(x) dx &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G_n(x, 1-t, \psi) \phi(x) dx \\ &= (-1)^n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi^{(n)}(x) \phi(x) dx + o(1), \quad t \rightarrow 1-. \end{aligned}$$

Using $\|G_n(x, 1-t, \phi)\|_E = O(1)$ in case $E = L_p$, $1 < p < \infty$, we recall that a closed ball is weakly compact, and since $\psi \in L_q$ (because it belongs to \mathcal{D}),

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G_n(x, 1-t_\nu; \phi) \psi(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \psi(x) dx + o(1), \quad \nu \rightarrow \infty,$$

and $\phi^{(n)}(x) - f(x) = 0$ in \mathcal{D}' ; therefore $f \in L_p$ implies $\phi^{(n)}(x) \in L_p$.

The weak * conditional compactness of a bounded set in B.V. $(-\infty, \infty)$ will yield the result in case $E = L_1$, following [5]. A bounded set in C_0 with the weak topology introduced by $\psi \in \mathcal{D}$, will be conditionally compact with limits in L_∞ , which will yield our theorem in case $E = C_0$.

REFERENCES

1. P. L. BUTZER AND H. BERENS, "Semi Groups of Operators and Approximation." Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1967.
2. Z. DITZIAN, Gap formulae for the Weierstrass transform. *Canad. Math Bull.* **11** (1968), 249-254.
3. I. I. HIRSCHMAN AND D. V. WIDDER, "The Convolution Transform." Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1955.
4. E. GÖRLICH, Distributional methods in saturation theory. *J. Approximation Theory* **1** (1968), 111-136.
5. M. KOZIMA AND G. I. SUNOUCHI, On the approximation and saturation by general singular integrals. *Tôhoku Math. J.* **20** (1968), 146-169.